

Twists - An Operational Representation of Shape^{*}

Gerald Sommer¹, Bodo Rosenhahn², Christian Perwass¹

¹Institut für Informatik und Praktische Mathematik
Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel
Christian-Albrechts-Platz 4, 24118 Kiel, Germany
and

²Centre for Image Technology and Robotics
University of Auckland
Tamaki Campus, Auckland, New Zealand

Abstract. We give a contribution to the representation problem of free-form curves and surfaces. Our proposal is an operational or kinematic approach based on the Lie group $SE(3)$. While in Euclidean space the modelling of shape as an orbit of a point under the action of $SE(3)$ is limited, we are embedding our problem into the conformal geometric algebra $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . This embedding results in a number of advantages which makes the proposed method a universal and flexible one with respect to applications. It makes possible the robust and fast estimation of the pose of 3D objects from incomplete and noisy image data. Especially advantageous is the equivalence of the proposed shape model to that of the Fourier representations.

1 Introduction

Shape is a geometric concept of the appearance of an object, a data set or a function which “can be defined as the total of all information that is invariant under translations, rotations, and isotropic rescalings. Thus two objects can be said to have the same shape if they are similar in the sense of Euclidean geometry.” This quotation from [27] is very general because of the consideration of scale invariance. By leaving out that property, we can define the shape of an object as that geometric concept that is invariant under the special Euclidean group, e.g. $SE(3)$ if we consider 3D shape in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . Furthermore, we allow our objects to change their shape in a well-defined manner under the action of some external forces which may include also a re-normalization of size.

The literature on shape modelling and applications is vast. Examples are visualization and animation in computer graphics or shape and motion recognition in computer vision. The central problem for the usefulness of a model in either field is the chosen representation of shape.

^{*} This work has been partially supported (G.S. and C.P.) by EC Grant IST-2001-3422 (VISATEC), by DFG Grant RO 2497/1-1 (B.R.), and by DFG Graduiertenkolleg No. 357 (B.R. and C.P.).

Here we present a new approach to the modelling of free-form shape of curves and surfaces which has some features that make it especially attractive for computer vision and computer graphics. In our applications of pose estimation of 3D objects we could easily handle incomplete and noisy image data for numerically stable estimations with nearly video real-time capability.

That new representation results from the fusion of two concepts:

- 1) Free-form curves and surfaces are modelled as the orbit of a point under the action of the Lie group $SE(3)$, caused by a set of coupled infinitesimal generators of the group, called twists [19].
- 2) These object models are embedded in the conformal geometric algebra (CGA) of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 [17], that is $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. Only in conformal geometry does the (above mentioned) modelling of shape unfold a rich set of useful features.

The concept of fusing a local with a global algebraic framework has been proposed already in [28]. But only the pioneering work of Hestenes, Li and Rockwood [17] made it feasible to consider the Lie algebra $se(3)$, the space of tangents to an object, embedded in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$, as the source of our shape model instead of using $se(3)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The tight relations of geometry and kinematics are known to the mathematicians for centuries, see e.g. [5]. But in contrast to most applications in mechanical engineering, we are not restricted in our approach by physically feasible motions nor will we get problems in generating spatial curves or surfaces.

By embedding our design method into CGA, both primitive geometric entities such as points or objects on the one hand and actions on the other hand will have algebraic representations in one single framework. Furthermore, objects are defined by actions, and also actions can take on the role of operands.

Our proposed kinematic definition of shape uses infinitesimal actions to generate global patterns of low intrinsic dimension. This phenomenon corresponds to the interpretation of the special Euclidean group in CGA, $SE(3)$, as a Lie group, where an element $g \in SE(3)$ performs a transformation of an entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$,

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}' = \underline{\mathbf{u}}(\theta) = g \{ \underline{\mathbf{u}}(0) \} \quad (1)$$

with respect to the parameter θ of g . Any special $g \in SE(3)$ that represents a general rotation in CGA corresponds to a Lie group operator $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}^+$ which is called a motor and which is applied by the bilinear spinor product

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}' = \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{u}} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is the reverse of \mathbf{M} . This product indicates that \mathbf{M} is an orthogonal operator. If g is an element of the Lie group $SE(3)$, its infinitesimal generator, ξ , is defined in the corresponding Lie algebra, that is $\xi \in se(3)$. That Lie algebra element of the rigid body motion is geometrically interpreted as the rotation axis $\underline{\mathbf{l}}$ in conformal space. Then the motor \mathbf{M} results from the exponential map of the generator $\underline{\mathbf{l}}$ of the group element, which is called a twist:

$$\mathbf{M} = \exp \left(-\frac{\theta}{2} \underline{\mathbf{l}} \right). \quad (3)$$

While θ is the rotation angle as the parameter of the motor, its generator is defined by the five degrees of freedom of a line \underline{l} in space.

In our approach, the motor M is the effective operator which causes arbitrarily complex object shape. This operator may result from the multiplicative coupling of a set of primitive motors $\{M_i | i = n, \dots, 1\}$,

$$M = M_n M_{n-1} \dots M_2 M_1. \quad (4)$$

Each of these motors M_i represents a circular motion of a point around its own axis.

Based on that approach, rather complex free-form objects can be designed which behave as algebraic entities. That means, they can be transformed by motors in a covariant and linear way. To handle complete objects in that way as unique entities makes sense from both a cognitive and a numeric point of view.

The conformal geometric algebra $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ makes this possible. This is due to two essential facts. First, the representation of the special Euclidean group $SE(3)$ in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ as a subgroup of the conformal group $C(3)$ is isomorphic to the special orthogonal group $SO^+(4,1)$. Hence, rigid body motion can be performed as rotation in CGA and therefore has a covariant representation. Second, the basic geometric entity of the conformal geometric algebra of the Euclidean space is the sphere. All geometric entities derived by incidence operations from the sphere can be transformed in CGA by an element $g \in SE(3)$, that is a motor $M \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}^+$, in the same linear way, just as a point in the homogeneous Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 . Because there exists a dual representation of a sphere (and of all derived entities) in CGA, which considers points as the basic geometric entity of the Euclidean space in the conformal space, all the known concepts from Euclidean space can be transformed to the conformal one.

Finally, we can take advantage of the stratification of spaces by CGA. Since the seminal paper [6] the purposive use of stratified geometries became an important design principle of vision systems. This means that an observer in dependence of its possibilities and needs can have access to different geometries as projective, affine or metric ones. So far this could hardly be realized. In CGA we have quite another situation.

The CGA $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ is a linear space of dimension 32. This mighty space represents not only conformal geometry but also affine geometry. Note that the special Euclidean group is a special affine group. Because $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ is derived from the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 , it encloses also Euclidean geometry, which is represented by the geometric algebra $\mathbb{R}_{3,0}$. In addition, the projective geometric algebra $\mathbb{R}_{3,1}$ is enclosed in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. Thus, we have the stratification of the geometric algebras $\mathbb{R}_{3,0} \subset \mathbb{R}_{3,1} \subset \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. This enables to consider metric (Euclidean), projective and kinematic (affine) problems in one single algebraic framework.

2 Geometric Entities and Motion in Conformal Geometric Algebra

After giving a bird's eye view on construction of the conformal geometric algebra, its features and geometric entities, will present the possibilities of representing the rigid body motion in CGA.

2.1 CGA of the Euclidean Space

A geometric algebra (GA) $\mathbb{R}_{p,q,r}$ is a linear space of dimension 2^n , $n = p + q + r$, which results from a vector space $\mathbb{R}^{p,q,r}$. We call (p, q, r) the signature of the vector space of dimension n . This indicates that there are $p/q/r$ unit vectors \mathbf{e}_i which square to $+1/-1/0$, respectively. While $n = p$ in case of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 , $\mathbb{R}^{p,q,r}$ indicates a vector space with a metric different than the Euclidean one. In the case of $r \neq 0$ there is a degenerate metric. We will omit the signature indexes from right if the interpretation is unique, as in the case of \mathbb{R}^3 . The basic product of a GA is the associative and anticommutative geometric product, indicated by juxtaposition of the operands. There can be used a lot of other product forms in CA too, as the outer product (\wedge), the inner product (\cdot), the commutator product (\times) and the anticommutator product ($\overline{\times}$). The space $\mathbb{R}_{p,q,r}$ is spanned by a set of 2^n linear subspaces of different grade called blades.

The conformal geometry of Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces is known for a long time [33] without giving strong impact on the modelling in engineering with the exception of electrical engineering. There are different representations of the conformal geometry. Most disseminated is a complex formulation [20]. Based on an idea in [14], in [17] and in two other papers of the same authors in [29], the conformal geometries of the Euclidean, spherical and hyperbolic spaces have been worked out in the framework of GA.

The basic approach is that a conformal geometric algebra (CGA) $\mathbb{R}_{p+1,q+1}$ is built from a pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$. If we start with an Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , the construction $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1,1}$, \oplus being the direct sum, uses a plane with Minkowski signature for augmenting the basis of \mathbb{R}^n by the additional basis vectors $\{\mathbf{e}_+, \mathbf{e}_-\}$ with $\mathbf{e}_+^2 = 1$ and $\mathbf{e}_-^2 = -1$. Because that model can be interpreted as a homogeneous stereographic projection of all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to points $\underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$, this space is called the homogeneous model of \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, by replacing the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_+, \mathbf{e}_-\}$ with the basis $\{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}_0\}$, the homogeneous stereographic representation will become a representation of null vectors. This is caused by the properties $\mathbf{e}^2 = \mathbf{e}_0^2 = 0$ and $\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{e}_0 = -1$. The relation between the null basis $\{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}_0\}$ and the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_+, \mathbf{e}_-\}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{e} := (\mathbf{e}_- + \mathbf{e}_+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_0 := \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_- - \mathbf{e}_+). \quad (5)$$

Any point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ transforms to a point $\underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ according to

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_0 \quad (6)$$

with $\underline{x}^2 = 0$.

In fact, any point $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ is lying on an n -dimensional subspace $N_e^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$, called horosphere [17]. The horosphere is a non-Euclidean model of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n .

It must be mentioned that the basis vectors e and e_0 have a geometric interpretation. In fact, e corresponds the north pole and e_0 corresponds the south pole of the hypersphere of the stereographic projection, embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$. Thus, e is representing the points at infinity and e_0 is representing the origin of \mathbb{R}^n in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$.

By setting apart these two points from all others of the \mathbb{R}^n makes $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ a homogeneous space in the sense that each $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ is a homogeneous null vector without having reference to the origin. This enables coordinate-free computing to a large extent. Hence, $\underline{x} \in N_e^n$ constitutes an equivalence class $\{\lambda \underline{x}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on the horosphere. The reduction of that equivalence class to a unique entity with metrical equivalence to the point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ needs a normalization.

The CGA $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$, derived from the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 , offers 32 blades as basis of that linear space. This rich structure enables one to represent low order geometric entities in a hierarchy of grades. These entities can be derived as solutions of either the IPNS or the OPNS depending on what we assume as the basis geometric entity of the conformal space, see [22]. So far we only considered the mapping of an Euclidean point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to a point $\underline{x} \in N_e^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,1}$. But the null vectors on the horosphere are only a special subset of all the vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$. All the vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ are representing spheres as the basic entities of the conformal space. A sphere $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ is defined by its center position, $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and its radius $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ according to

$$\underline{s} = \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{c} - \rho)^2 e + e_0. \quad (7)$$

And because $\underline{s}^2 = \rho^2 > 0$, it must be a non-null vector. A point $\underline{x} \in N_e^3$ can be considered as a degenerate sphere of radius zero and a plane $\underline{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ can be interpreted as a sphere of infinite radius. Hence, spheres \underline{s} , points \underline{x} and planes \underline{p} are entities of grade 1. By taking the outer product of spheres \underline{s}_i , other entities of higher grade can be constructed.

So we get a circle \underline{z} , a point pair \underline{q} and a point \underline{y} as entities of grade 2, 3 and 4, respectively, which exist outside the null cone in $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$,

$$\underline{z} = \underline{s}_1 \wedge \underline{s}_2 \quad (8)$$

$$\underline{q} = \underline{s}_1 \wedge \underline{s}_2 \wedge \underline{s}_3 \quad (9)$$

$$\underline{y} = \underline{s}_1 \wedge \underline{s}_2 \wedge \underline{s}_3 \wedge \underline{s}_4 \quad (10)$$

as solutions of the IPNS. If we consider the OPNS on the other hand, we are starting with points $\underline{x}_i \in N_e^3$ and can proceed similarly to define a point pair \underline{Q} , a circle \underline{Z} and a sphere \underline{S} as entities of grade 2, 3 and 4 derived from points \underline{x}_i

on the null cone of $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ according to

$$\underline{Q} = \underline{x}_1 \wedge \underline{x}_2 \quad (11)$$

$$\underline{Z} = \underline{x}_1 \wedge \underline{x}_2 \wedge \underline{x}_3 \quad (12)$$

$$\underline{S} = \underline{x}_1 \wedge \underline{x}_2 \wedge \underline{x}_3 \wedge \underline{x}_4. \quad (13)$$

These sets of entities are obviously related by the duality $\underline{u}^* = \underline{U}$.

In OPNS, for lines \underline{L} and planes \underline{P} , we have the definitions

$$\underline{L} = e \wedge \underline{x}_1 \wedge \underline{x}_2 \quad (14)$$

$$\underline{P} = e \wedge \underline{x}_1 \wedge \underline{x}_2 \wedge \underline{x}_3 \quad (15)$$

and in IPNS we get the lines \underline{l} and the planes \underline{p} as entities of grade 2 and 1 as the dual of \underline{L} and \underline{P} , respectively. Finally,

$$\underline{X} = e \wedge \underline{x}$$

is called the affine representation of a point [17]. This representation of a point is used if the interplay of the projective with the conformal representation is of interest in applications as in [25]. The same is with the line \underline{L} and the plane \underline{P} .

Let us come back to the stratification of spaces mentioned in Section 1. Let be $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a point of the Euclidean space, $\underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ a point of the projective space and $\underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ a point of the conformal space. Then the operations which transform the representation between the spaces are for $\mathbb{R}_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{3,1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$

$$\underline{X} = e \wedge \underline{X} = e \wedge (\underline{x} + e_-), \quad (16)$$

and for $\mathbb{R}_{4,1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{3,1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_3$

$$\underline{x} = -\frac{\underline{X}}{\underline{X} \cdot e_-} = \frac{((e_+ \cdot \underline{X}) \wedge e_-) \cdot e_-}{(e_+ \cdot \underline{X}) \cdot e_-}. \quad (17)$$

2.2 The Special Euclidean Group in CGA

A geometry is defined by its basic entity, the geometric transformation group which is acting in a linear and covariant manner on all the entities which are constructed from the basic entity by incidence operations, and the resulting invariances with respect to that group. The search for such a geometry was motivated in Section 1. Next we want to specify the required features of the special Euclidean group in CGA.

To make a geometry a proper one, we have to require that any action \mathcal{A} of that group on an entity, say \underline{u} , is grade preserving, or in other words structure preserving. This makes it necessary that the operator \mathbf{A} applies as versor product [23]

$$\mathcal{A}\{\underline{u}\} = \mathbf{A}\underline{u}\mathbf{A}^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

This means that the entity \mathbf{u} should transform covariantly [16], [3]. If \mathbf{u} is composed by e.g. two representants \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 of the basis entities of the geometry, then \mathbf{u} should transform according to

$$\mathcal{A}\{\mathbf{u}\} = \mathcal{A}\{\mathbf{u}_1 \circ \mathbf{u}_2\} = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{A}^{-1}) \circ (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_2\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{A}^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

The invariants of the conformal group $C(3)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 are angles. The conformal group $C(3)$ is mighty [20], but other than (18) and (19) it is nonlinear and transforms not covariantly in \mathbb{R}^3 . Besides, in \mathbb{R}^3 there exist no entities other than points which could be transformed.

As we have shown in Section 2.1, in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ the situation is quite different because all the geometric entities derived there can be seen also as algebraic entities in the sense of Section 1. Not only the elements of the null cone transform covariantly but also those of the dual space of $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. Furthermore, the representation of the conformal group $C(3)$ in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ has the required properties of (18) and (19), see [17] and [16]. All vectors with positive signature in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$, that is a sphere, a plane as well as the components inversion and reflection of $C(3)$ compose a multiplicative group. That is called the versor representation of $C(3)$. This group is isomorphic to the Lorentz group of $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. The subgroup, which is composed by products of an even number of these vectors, is the spin group $Spin^+(4, 1)$, that is the spin representation of $O^+(4, 1)$. To that group belong the subgroups of rotation, translation, dilatation, and transversion of $C(3)$. They are applied as a spinor \mathbf{S} , $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}^+$ and $\mathbf{S}\tilde{\mathbf{S}} = |\mathbf{S}|^2$. A rotor \mathbf{R} , $\mathbf{R} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_{4,1} \rangle_2$ and $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^2 = 1$, is a special spinor. Rotation and translation are represented in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ as rotors.

The special Euclidean group $SE(3)$ is defined by $SE(3) = SO(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore, the rigid body motion $g = (R, \mathbf{t})$, $g \in SE(3)$ of a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ writes in Euclidean space

$$\mathbf{x}' = g\{\mathbf{x}\} = R\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}. \quad (20)$$

Here R is a rotation matrix and \mathbf{t} is a translation vector. Because $SE(3) \subset C(3)$, in our choice of a special rigid body motion the representation of $SE(3)$ in CGA is isomorphic to the special orthogonal group, $SO^+(4, 1)$. Hence, such $g \in SE(3)$, which does not represent the full screw, is represented as rotation in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. This rotation is a general one, that is the rotation axis in \mathbb{R}^3 is shifted out of the origin by the translation vector \mathbf{t} .

That transformation $g \in SE(3)$ is represented in CGA by a special rotor \mathbf{M} called a motor, $\mathbf{M} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_{4,1} \rangle_2$. The motor may be written

$$\mathbf{M} = \exp\left(-\frac{\theta}{2}\mathbf{l}\right), \quad (21)$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is the rotation angle and $\mathbf{l} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_{4,1} \rangle_2$ is indicating the line of the general rotation. To specify \mathbf{l} by the rotation and translation in \mathbb{R}^3 , the motor has to be decomposed into its rotation and translation components. The normal rotation in CGA is given by the rotor

$$\mathbf{R} = \exp\left(-\frac{\theta}{2}\mathbf{l}\right) \quad (22)$$

with $\mathbf{l} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_3 \rangle_2$ indicating the rotation plane which passes the origin. The translation in CGA is given by a special rotor, called a translator,

$$\mathbf{T} = \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{t}}{2} \right) \quad (23)$$

with $\mathbf{t} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_3 \rangle_1$ as the translation vector. Because rotors constitute a multiplicative group, a naive formulation of the coupling of \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{T} would be

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{R}. \quad (24)$$

But if we interpret the rotor \mathbf{R} as that entity of $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ which should be transformed by translation in a covariant manner, a better choice is

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mathbf{T}}. \quad (25)$$

We call this special motor representation the twist representation. Its exponential form is given by

$$\mathbf{M} = \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{t} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\theta}{2}\mathbf{l} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{t} \right). \quad (26)$$

This equation expresses the shift of the rotation axis \mathbf{l}^* in the plane \mathbf{l} by the vector \mathbf{t} to perform the normal rotation and finally shifting back the axis.

Because $SE(3)$ is a Lie group, the line $\underline{\mathbf{l}} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_{4,1} \rangle_2$ is the representation of the infinitesimal generator of \mathbf{M} , $\xi \in se(3)$. We call the generator representation a twist because it represents rigid body motion as general rotation. It is parameterized by the position and orientation of $\underline{\mathbf{l}}$ which are the Plücker coordinates, represented by the rotation plane \mathbf{l} and the inner product $(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{l})$, [25],

$$\underline{\mathbf{l}} = \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{l}). \quad (27)$$

The most general formulation of the rigid body motion is the screw motion [24]. It is formulated in CGA as

$$\mathbf{M}_s = \mathbf{T}_s \mathbf{T}\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mathbf{T}} \quad (28)$$

with the pitch translator

$$\mathbf{T}_s = \exp \left(\frac{d}{2}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{l}^* \right), \quad (29)$$

where $\mathbf{l}^* \in \langle \mathbb{R}_3 \rangle_1$ is the screw axis as the dual of \mathbf{l} and $\mathbf{t}_s = d\mathbf{l}^*$ is a translation vector parallel to that axis and of length d . If we formulate \mathbf{M}_s as

$$\mathbf{M}_s = \exp \left(-\frac{\theta}{2}(\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{e}\mathbf{m}) \right) \quad (30)$$

with the vector $\mathbf{m} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_3 \rangle_1$

$$\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{l} - \frac{d}{\theta}\mathbf{l}^*, \quad (31)$$

then all special cases of the rigid body motion, represented in the CGA $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ can be derived from (31):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m} = 0 & \quad : \text{pure rotation } (M_s = \mathbf{R}) \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{t}, \theta \longrightarrow 0 & : \text{pure translation } (M_s = \mathbf{T}) \\ \mathbf{m} \perp \mathbf{l}^* & \quad : \text{general rotation } (M_s = \mathbf{M}) \\ \mathbf{m} \not\perp \mathbf{l}^* & \quad : \text{general screw motion.} \end{aligned}$$

A motor \mathbf{M} transforms covariantly any entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ according to

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}' = \mathbf{M}\underline{\mathbf{u}}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \quad (32)$$

with $\underline{\mathbf{u}}' \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. An equivalent equation is valid for the dual entity $\underline{\mathbf{U}} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. Because motors concatenate multiplicatively, a multiple-motor transformation of $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$ resolves recursively. Let be $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1$, then

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}'' = \mathbf{M}\underline{\mathbf{u}}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{M}_2\mathbf{M}_1\underline{\mathbf{u}}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_2 = \mathbf{M}_2\underline{\mathbf{u}}'\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_2. \quad (33)$$

It is a feature of any GA that also composed entities, which are built by the outer product of other ones, transform covariantly by a linear transformation. This is called outermorphism [13] and it means the preservation of the outer product under linear transformations. Let $\underline{\mathbf{z}} \in \langle \mathbb{R}_{4,1} \rangle_2$ be a circle, which is composed by two spheres $\underline{\mathbf{s}}_1, \underline{\mathbf{s}}_2 \in \langle \mathbb{R}_{4,1} \rangle_1$ according to $\underline{\mathbf{z}} = \underline{\mathbf{s}}_1 \wedge \underline{\mathbf{s}}_2$. Then the transformed circle computes as

$$\underline{\mathbf{z}}' = \mathbf{M}\underline{\mathbf{z}}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{M}(\underline{\mathbf{s}}_1 \wedge \underline{\mathbf{s}}_2)\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \langle \mathbf{M}(\underline{\mathbf{s}}_1 \underline{\mathbf{s}}_2)\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \rangle_2 \quad (34)$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{M}\underline{\mathbf{s}}_1\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{M}\underline{\mathbf{s}}_2\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \rangle_2 = \mathbf{M}\underline{\mathbf{s}}_1\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \wedge \mathbf{M}\underline{\mathbf{s}}_2\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \quad (35)$$

$$= \underline{\mathbf{s}}'_1 \wedge \underline{\mathbf{s}}'_2. \quad (36)$$

Following Section 1, this is an important feature of the chosen algebraic embedding that will be demonstrated in Section 3.

3 Shape Models from Coupled Twists

In this section we will approach step by step the kinematic design of algebraic and transcendental curves and surfaces by coupling a certain set of twists as generators of a multiple-parameter Lie group action.

3.1 Constrained Motion in a Kinematic Chain

In the preceding section we argued that each entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_i$ contributing to the rigid model of another entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$ is performing the same transformation, represented by the motor \mathbf{M} . Now we assume an ordered set of non-rigidly coupled rigid components of an object. This is for example a model of bar-shaped mechanisms [19] if the components are coupled by either revolute or prismatic joints. Such model is called a kinematic chain [18]. In a kinematic chain the task is to formulate the net movement of the end-effector at the n -th joint by movements of

the j -th joints, $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, if the 0-th joint is fixed coupled with a world coordinate system. These movements are described by the motors M_j . Let \mathcal{T}_j be the transformation of an attached joint j with respect to the base coordinate system, then for $j = 1, \dots, n$ the point \underline{x}_{j,i_j} , $i_j = 1, \dots, m_j$, transforms according to

$$\mathcal{T}_j(\underline{x}_{j,i_j}, M_j) = M_1 \dots M_j \underline{x}_{j,i_j} \widetilde{M}_j \dots \widetilde{M}_1 \quad (37)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_0(\underline{x}_{0,i_0}) = \underline{x}_{0,i_0}. \quad (38)$$

The motors M_j are representing the flexible geometry of the kinematic chain very efficiently. This results in an object model \mathcal{O} defined by a kinematic chain with n segments and described by any geometric entity $\underline{u}_{j,i_j} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ attached to the j -th segment,

$$\mathcal{O} = \{\mathcal{T}_0(\underline{u}_{0,i_0}), \mathcal{T}_1(\underline{u}_{1,i_1}, M_1), \dots, \mathcal{T}_n(\underline{u}_{n,i_n}, M_n) | n, i_0, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (39)$$

If \underline{u}_{j,i_j} is performing a motion caused by the motor M , then

$$\underline{u}'_{j,i_j} = M \left(\mathcal{T}_j(\underline{u}_{j,i_j}, M_j) \right) \widetilde{M} \quad (40)$$

$$= M(M_1 \dots M_j \underline{u}_{j,i_j} \widetilde{M}_j \dots \widetilde{M}_1) \widetilde{M}. \quad (41)$$

Obviously, this equation describes a constrained motion of the considered entities.

3.2 The Operational Model of Shape

We will now introduce another type of constrained motion, which can be realized by physical systems only in special cases but should be understood as a generalization of a kinematic chain. This is our proposed model of operational or kinematic shape [25]. An operational shape means that a shape results from the net effect, that is the orbit, of a point under the action of a set of coupled operators. So the operators at the end are the representations of the shape. A kinematic shape means the shape for which these operators are the motors as representations of $SE(3)$ in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. The principle is simple. It goes back to the interpretation of any $g \in SE(3)$ as a Lie group action [19], see equation (1). But only in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ we can take advantage of its representation as rotation around the axis \underline{L} , see equations (21), (25) and (26).

In Section 2.1 we introduced the sphere and the circle from IPNS and OPNS, respectively. We call these definitions the canonical ones. On the other hand, a circle has an operational definition which is given by the following.

Let \underline{x}_ϕ be a point which is a mapping of another point \underline{x}_0 by $g \in SE(3)$ in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$. This may be written as

$$\underline{x}_\phi = M_\phi \underline{x}_0 \widetilde{M}_\phi \quad (42)$$

with M_ϕ being the motor which rotates $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_0$ by an angle ϕ ,

$$M_\phi = \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}\Psi\right). \quad (43)$$

Here again is Ψ the twist as a generator of the rotation around the axis $\underline{\mathbf{l}}$, see equation (21). Note that $\Psi = \alpha \underline{\mathbf{l}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. If ϕ covers densely the whole span $[0, \dots, 2\pi]$, then the generated set of points $\{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_\phi\}$ is also dense. The infinite set $\{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_\phi\}$ is the orbit of a rotation caused by the infinite set $\{M_\phi\}$, which has the shape of a circle in \mathbb{R}^3 . The set $\{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_\phi\}$ represents the well-known subset concept in a vector space of geometric objects in analytic geometry. In fact, that circle is on the horosphere N_e^3 because it is composed only by points. We will write for the circle $\underline{\mathbf{z}}_{\{1\}}$ instead of $\{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_\phi\}$ to indicate the different nature of that circle in comparison to either $\underline{\mathbf{z}}$ or $\underline{\mathbf{Z}}$ of Section 2.1. The index $\{1\}$ means that the circle is generated by one twist from a continuous argument ϕ . So the circle, embedded in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$, is defined by

$$\underline{\mathbf{z}}_{\{1\}} = \{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_\phi \mid \text{for all } \phi \in [0, \dots, 2\pi]\}. \quad (44)$$

Its radius is given by the distance of the chosen point $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_0$ to the axis $\underline{\mathbf{l}}$ and its orientation and position in space depends on the parameterization of $\underline{\mathbf{l}}$. That $\underline{\mathbf{z}}_{\{1\}}$ is defined by an infinite set of arguments is no real problem in the case of computational geometry or applications where only discretized shape is of interest. More interesting is the fact that in the canonical definitions of Section 2.1 the geometric entities are all derived from either spheres or points. In the case of the operational definition of shape, the circle is the basic geometric entity instead, respectively rotation is the basic operation.

A sphere results from the coupling of two motors, M_{ϕ_1} and M_{ϕ_2} , whose twist axes meet at the center of the sphere and which are perpendicularly arranged. The following twists are possible generators, but any other orientation is even good,

$$\Psi_1 = \mathbf{e}_{12} + \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{12}) \quad (45)$$

$$\Psi_2 = \mathbf{e}_{31} + \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{31}) \quad (46)$$

with the sphere center \mathbf{c} , and $\mathbf{e}_{12}, \mathbf{e}_{31}$ are two orthogonal planes.

The resulting constrained motion of a point $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{0,0}$ performs a rotation on a sphere given by $\phi_1 \in [0, \dots, 2\pi]$ and $\phi_2 \in [0, \dots, \pi]$,

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \phi_2} = M_{\phi_2} M_{\phi_1} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{0,0} \widetilde{M}_{\phi_1} \widetilde{M}_{\phi_2}. \quad (47)$$

The complete orbit of a sphere is given by

$$\underline{\mathbf{z}}_{\{2\}} = \{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \phi_2} \mid \text{for all } \phi_1 \in [0, \dots, 2\pi], \phi_2 \in [0, \dots, \pi]\}. \quad (48)$$

Let us come back to the point of generalization of the well-known kinematic chains. These models of linked bar mechanisms have to be physically feasible.

Instead, our model of coupled twists is not limited by that constraint. Therefore, the sphere expresses a virtual coupling of twists. This includes both location and orientation in space, and the possibility of fixating several twists at the same location, for any dimension of the space \mathbb{R}^n . There are several extensions of the introduced kinematic model which are only possible in CGA.

First, while the group $SE(3)$ can only act on points, its representation in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ may act in the same way on any entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ derived from either points or spheres. This results in high complex free-form shapes caused from the motion of relatively simple generating entities and low order sets of coupled twists.

Second, only by coupling a certain set of twists, high complex free-form shapes may be generated from a complex enough constrained motion of a point.

Let $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n\}}$ be the shape generated by n motors $\mathbf{M}_{\phi_1}, \dots, \mathbf{M}_{\phi_n}$. We call it the n -twist model,

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n\}} = \{ \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n} \mid \text{for all } \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in [0, \dots, 2\pi] \} \quad (49)$$

with

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n} = \mathbf{M}_{\phi_n} \dots \mathbf{M}_{\phi_1} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{0, \dots, 0} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\phi_1} \dots \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\phi_n}. \quad (50)$$

Then the last equation may also be written

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n} = \mathbf{M}_{\phi_n} \dots \mathbf{M}_{\phi_2} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, 0, \dots, 0} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\phi_2} \dots \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\phi_n}. \quad (51)$$

By continuing that reformulation, we get finally

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n} = \mathbf{M}_{\phi_n} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}, 0} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\phi_n}. \quad (52)$$

This corresponds also to

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n} = \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{0, \dots, 0} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \quad (53)$$

with $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_{\phi_n} \dots \mathbf{M}_{\phi_1}$. The set $\{ \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, 0, \dots, 0} \}$ represents a circle and the set $\{ \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}, 0} \}$ represents an entity which, coupled with a circle, will result in $\{ \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n} \}$. While equation (50) is representing a multiple-parameter Lie group form of $SE(3)$, where the nested motors are carrying the complexity of $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n\}}$, the complexity is stepwise shifted to $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n-1\}}$ in equation (52). Furthermore, while both equations (52) and (53) are linear in the motors, equation (53) looks so simple because the parameters of the resulting motor \mathbf{M} are now a function in the space spanned by the parameters of the generating twists Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_n and the arguments of the motors ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n . Instead of using the single-parameter form (53), we prefer equation (50).

3.3 Free-form Objects

There are a lot of more degrees of freedom to design free-form objects embedded in $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ by the motion of a point caused by coupled twists. While a single rotation-like motor generates a circle, a single translation-like motor generates a line as a root of non-curved objects. Of course, several of both variants can be mixed.

Other degrees of freedom of the design result from the following extensions:

- Introducing an individual angular frequency λ_i to the motor \mathbf{M}_{ϕ_i} also influences the synchronization of the rotation angles ϕ_i .
- Rotation within limited angular segments $\phi_i \in [\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_2}]$ with $0 \leq \alpha_{i_1} < \alpha_{i_2} \leq 2\pi$ is possible.

Let us consider the simple example of a 2-twist model of shape,

$$\mathbf{u}_{\{2\}} = \{\mathbf{x}_{\phi_1, \phi_2} \mid \text{for all } \phi_1, \phi_2 \in [0, \dots, 2\pi]\} \quad (54)$$

with

$$\mathbf{x}_{\phi_1, \phi_2} = \mathbf{M}_{\lambda_2 \phi_2} \mathbf{M}_{\lambda_1 \phi_1} \mathbf{x}_0 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda_1 \phi_1} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\lambda_2 \phi_2}, \quad (55)$$

$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi \in [0, \dots, 2\pi]$.

That model can generate not only a sphere, but an ellipse ($\lambda_1 = -2, \lambda_2 = 1$), several well-known algebraic curves (in space), see [25], such as cardioid, nephroid or deltoid, transcendental curves like a spiral, or surfaces. For the list of examples see Table 1.

Table 1. Simple geometric entities generated from up to three twists

| Entity | Generation | Class |
|--------------|--|---|
| point | twist axis intersected with a point | 0twist curve |
| circle | twist axis non-collinear with a point | 1twist curve |
| line | twist axis is at infinity | 1twist curve |
| conic | 2 parallel non-collinear twists | 2twist curve $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -2$ |
| line segment | 2 twists, building a degenerate conic | 2twist curve $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -2$ |
| cardioid | 2 parallel non-collinear twists | 2twist curve $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1$ |
| nephroid | 2 parallel non-collinear twists | 2twist curve $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 2$ |
| rose | 2 parallel non-collinear twists, j loops | 2twist curve $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -j$ |
| spiral | 1 finite and 1 infinite twist | 2twist curve $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1$ |
| sphere | 2 perpendicular twists | 2twist surface $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1$ |
| plane | 2 parallel twists at infinity | 2twist surface |
| cylinder | 2 twists, one at infinity | 2twist surface |
| cone | 2 twists, one at infinity | 2twist surface |
| quadric | a conic rotated with a third twist | 3twist surface |

Interestingly, the order of nonlinearity of algebraic curves grows faster than the number of the generating motors.

By replacing the initial point \mathbf{x}_0 by any other geometric entity, \mathbf{u}_0 , built from either points or spheres by applying the outer product, the concepts remain the same. This makes the kinematic object model in conformal space a recursive one.

The infinite set of arguments ϕ_i of the motor \mathbf{M}_{ϕ_i} to generate the entity $\mathbf{u}_{\{n\}}$ will in practice reduce to a finite one, which results in a discrete entity $\mathbf{u}_{[n]}$. The index $[n]$ indicates that n twists are used with a finite set of arguments $\{\phi_{i,j_i} \mid j_i \in \{0, \dots, m_i\}\}$.

The previous formulations of free-form shape did assume a rigid model. As in the case of the kinematic chain, the model can be made flexible. This happens by encapsulating the entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{[n]}$ into a set of motors $\{\mathbf{M}_j^d | j = J, \dots, 1\}$, which results in a deformation of the object.

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{[n]}^d = \mathbf{M}_J^d \dots \mathbf{M}_1^d \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{[n]} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_1^d \dots \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_J^d \quad (56)$$

Finally, the entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{[n]}^d$ may perform a motion under the action of a motor \mathbf{M} , which itself may be composed by a set of motors $\{\mathbf{M}_i | i = I, \dots, 1\}$ according to equation (4),

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{[n]}^{d'} = \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{[n]}^d \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}. \quad (57)$$

But a twist is not only an operator, it may also play in CGA the role of an operand,

$$\Psi' = \mathbf{M} \Psi \mathbf{M}. \quad (58)$$

This causes a dynamic shape model as an alternative to (56). If the angular argument of \mathbf{M} is specified by, e.g. $\theta = 2\pi t$, $t_* \leq t \leq t^*$, then the twist axis $\underline{\mathbf{l}}$ may move along the arc of a circle. An interesting application is the so-called ball-and-socket joint required to accurately model shoulder and hip joints of articulated persons [11].

So far, the entity $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n\}}$ was embedded in the Euclidean space. Lifting up the entity to the conformal space, $\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n\}} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$, is simply done by

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n\}} = \mathbf{e} \wedge (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{\{n\}} + \mathbf{e}_-) = \mathbf{e} \wedge \mathbf{U}_{\{n\}} \quad (59)$$

with $\mathbf{U}_{\{n\}}$ being the shape in the projective space $\mathbb{R}_{3,1}$.

4 Twist Models and Fourier Representations

The message of the last subsection is the following. A finite set of coupled twist (or nested motors) performs a constrained motion of any set of geometric entities, whose orbit uniquely represents either a curve, a surface or a volume of arbitrary complexity. This needs a parameterized model of the generators of the shape. In some applications the reverse problem may be of interest. That is to find a parameterized twist model for a given shape. That task can be solved: Any curve, surface or volume of arbitrary complexity can be mapped to a finite set of coupled twists, but in a non-unique manner. That means, that there are different models which generate the same shape.

We will show here that there is a direct and intuitive relation between the twist model of shape and the Fourier representations. The Fourier series decomposition and the Fourier transforms in their different representations are well-known techniques of signal analysis and image processing [21]. The interesting fact that this equivalence of representations results in a fusion of concepts from

geometry, kinematics, and signal theory is of great importance in engineering. Furthermore, because the presented modelling of shape is embedded in a conformal space, there is also a single access for embedding the Fourier representations in either conformal or projective geometry. This is quite different from the recent publications [30], [31]. It will hopefully enable image processing which is conformally embedded and, in the case of image sequences, the pose in space can be coupled with image analysis in a better way than in [32]. Our first attempt to formulate projective Fourier coefficients [25] showed some serious problems which have to be overcome in future work. We will not go into details here.

4.1 The Case of a Closed Planar Curve

Let us consider a closed curve $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in a parametric representation with $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then its Fourier series representation is given by

$$\mathbf{c}(t) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{\nu} \exp\left(\frac{j2\pi\nu t}{T}\right) \quad (60)$$

with the Fourier coefficients γ_{ν} , $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ as frequency and j , $j^2 = -1$, as the imaginary unit and T as the curve length.

This model of a curve has been used for a long time in image processing for shape analysis by Fourier descriptors (these are the Fourier coefficients) [34], [10]. Furthermore, affine invariant Fourier descriptors can be used [1] to couple a space curve to its affine image.

We will translate this spectral representation into the model of an infinite number of coupled twists by following the method presented in [26]. Because equation (60) is valid in an Euclidean space, the twist model has to be reformulated accordingly. This will be shown for the case of a 2-twist curve $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_{\{2\}}$. Then equation (55) can be written in \mathbb{R}_3 for $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi$ as

$$\mathbf{x}_{\phi} = \mathbf{R}_{\lambda_2\phi} \left((\mathbf{R}_{\lambda_1\phi}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{t}_1) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\lambda_1\phi} + \mathbf{t}_1) - \mathbf{t}_2 \right) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\lambda_2\phi} + \mathbf{t}_2 \quad (61)$$

$$= \mathbf{p}_0 + \mathbf{V}_{1,\phi} \mathbf{p}_1 \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{1,\phi} + \mathbf{V}_{2,\phi} \mathbf{p}_2 \tilde{\mathbf{V}}_{2,\phi}. \quad (62)$$

Here the translation vectors have been absorbed by the vectors \mathbf{p}_i and the \mathbf{V}_i are built by certain products of the rotors $\mathbf{R}_{\lambda_i\phi}$. We call the \mathbf{p}_i the phase vectors. Next, for the aim of interpreting that equation as a Fourier series expansion, we rewrite the Fourier basis functions as rotors of an angular frequency $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, in the plane $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{R}_2$, $\mathbf{l}^2 = -1$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\lambda_i\phi} = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda_i\phi}{2}\mathbf{l}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi i\phi}{T}\mathbf{l}\right). \quad (63)$$

All rotors of a planar curve lie in the same plane as the phase vectors \mathbf{p}_i . After some algebra, see [26], we get for the transformed point

$$\mathbf{x}_{\phi} = \sum_{i=0}^2 \mathbf{p}_i \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i\phi}{T}\mathbf{l}\right) \quad (64)$$

and for the curve as subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 the infinite set of points

$$\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} = \{\mathbf{x}_\phi \mid \text{for all } \phi \in [0, \dots, 2\pi] \text{ and for all } i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}. \quad (65)$$

A general (planar) curve is given by

$$\mathbf{c}_{\{\infty\}} = \{\mathbf{x}_\phi \mid \text{for all } \phi \in [0, \dots, 2\pi] \text{ and for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad (66)$$

respectively as Fourier series expansion, written in the language of kinematics

$$\mathbf{c}_{\{\infty\}} = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=-n}^n \mathbf{p}_i \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i \phi}{T} \mathbf{l} \right) \right\} \quad (67)$$

$$= \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=-n}^n \mathbf{R}_{\lambda_i \phi} \mathbf{p}_i \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\lambda_i \phi} \right\}. \quad (68)$$

A discretized curve is called a contour. In that case equation (67) has to consider a finite model of n twists and the Fourier series expansion becomes the inverse discrete Fourier transform. Hence, a planar contour is given by the finite sequence $\mathbf{c}_{[n]}$ with the contour points c_k , $-n \leq k \leq n$, in parametric representation

$$c_k = \sum_{i=-n}^n \mathbf{p}_i \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i k}{2n+1} \mathbf{l} \right), \quad (69)$$

and the phase vectors are computed as a discrete Fourier transform of the contour

$$\mathbf{p}_i = \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k \exp \left(-\frac{2\pi i k}{2n+1} \mathbf{l} \right). \quad (70)$$

These equations imply that the angular argument ϕ_k is replaced by k . If the contour can be interpreted as a satisfactory sampled curve [21], the curve $\mathbf{c}_{\{\infty\}}$ can be reconstructed from $\mathbf{c}_{[n]}$.

4.2 Extensions of the Concepts

The extension of the modelling of a planar curve, embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , to a 3D curve is easily done. This happens by taking its projections to either \mathbf{e}_{12} , \mathbf{e}_{23} , or \mathbf{e}_{31} as periodic planar curves. Hence, we get the superposition of these three components. Let $\mathbf{c}_{[n]}^j$ be these components in the case of a 3D contour with the rotation axes \mathbf{l}_j^* perpendicular to the rotation planes \mathbf{l}_j . Then

$$\mathbf{c}_{[n]} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbf{c}_{[n]}^j \quad (71)$$

with the contour points of the projections c_k^j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $-n \leq k \leq n$,

$$c_k^j = \sum_{i=-n}^n \mathbf{p}_i^j \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{2n+1} \mathbf{l}_j\right). \quad (72)$$

Another useful extension is with respect to surface representations, see [26]. If this surface is a 2D function orthogonal to a plane spanned by the bivectors \mathbf{e}_{ij} , then the twist model corresponds to the 2D inverse FT. In the case of an arbitrary orientation of the rotation planes \mathbf{l}_j instead, or in the case of the surface of a 3D object, the procedure is comparable to that of equation (72). The surface is represented as a two-parametric surface $\mathbf{s}(t_1, t_2)$ generated as a superposition of the three projections $\mathbf{s}^j(t_1, t_2)$.

In the case of a discrete surface in a two-parametric representation we have the finite surface representation $\mathbf{s}_{[n_1, n_2]}$,

$$\mathbf{s}_{[n_1, n_2]} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbf{s}_{[n_1, n_2]}^j \quad (73)$$

with the surface points of the projections s_{k_1, k_2}^j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $-n_1 \leq k_1 \leq n_1$, $-n_2 \leq k_2 \leq n_2$,

$$s_{k_1, k_2}^j = \sum_{i_1=-n_1}^{n_1} \sum_{i_2=-n_2}^{n_2} \mathbf{p}_{i_1, i_2}^j \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i_1 k_1}{2n_1+1} \mathbf{l}_j\right) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i_2 k_2}{2n_2+1} \mathbf{l}_j\right) \quad (74)$$

and the phase vectors

$$\mathbf{p}_{i_1, i_2}^j = \frac{1}{2n_1+1} \frac{1}{2n_2+1} \mathbf{p}_{i_1, i_2}^{j'} \quad (75)$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{i_1, i_2}^{j'} = \sum_{k_1=-n_1}^{n_1} \sum_{k_2=-n_2}^{n_2} s_{k_1, k_2}^j \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i_1 k_1}{2n_1+1} \mathbf{l}_j\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i_2 k_2}{2n_2+1} \mathbf{l}_j\right). \quad (76)$$

Finally, we will formulate an alternative model of a curve $\underline{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ [25]. While equation (67) expresses the additive superposition of rotated phase vectors in Euclidean space, the following model expresses a multiplicative coupling of the twists directly in conformal space.

$$\underline{\mathbf{c}}_{\{\infty\}} = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{i=n}^{-n} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda_i \phi} \right) \underline{\mathbf{Q}} \left(\prod_{i=-n}^n \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{\lambda_i \phi} \right) \right\}. \quad (77)$$

This equation results from the assumption that the point $\mathbf{x}_0 = (0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, expressed as the affine point $\underline{\mathbf{Q}} \in \mathbb{R}_{4,1}$, $\underline{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{e} \wedge \mathbf{e}_0$, is translated $2n+1$ times by the translators

$$\mathbf{T}_{\lambda_i \phi} = \frac{1 + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{t}_{\lambda_i \phi}}{2} \quad (78)$$

with the Euclidean vector

$$\mathbf{t}_{\lambda_i\phi} = \mathbf{R}_{\lambda_i\phi} \mathbf{p}_i \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{\lambda_i\phi}. \quad (79)$$

It turns out that equation (77) has some numeric advantages in application [25].

The discussed equivalence of the twist model and the Fourier representation has several advantages in practical use of the model. The most important may be the applicability to low-frequency approximations of the shape. For instance in pose estimation [25] the estimations of the motion parameters of non-convex objects can be regularized efficiently in that way. Instead of estimating motors, the parameters of the twists are estimated because of numeric reasons.

It turned out that the paper [15] already proposed an elliptic approximation to a contour. The authors call their Fourier transform (FT) the elliptic FT. The generating model of shape is that of coupled ellipses. Later on, after Bracewell [2] rediscovered that this type of FT has been already proposed in [12] as real-valued FT, it is well-known as Hartley transform. Taking the Hartley transform instead of the complex-valued FT has the advantage of reducing the computational complexity by a factor of two in both contour and surface based free-form objects. In the case of a surface model equation (73) involves three complex-valued 2D Fourier transforms. Instead of that one single quaternion-valued 3D Fourier transform can be applied. This leads to a slight reduction of the computational complexity. But finally, neither of the presented versions of the Fourier transform must be applied in real time. Instead, precomputing and table look up is the fastest version.

In some applications it is not necessary to have at hand the global shape of an object as an inverse discrete Fourier transform. Instead, a local spectral representation of the shape would be sufficient. The Gabor transform [9] could be a candidate. But a better choice is the monogenic signal [7]. This is computed by scale adaptive filters [8] for getting the spectral representations of oriented lines in the plane. That approach is comparable to the model of coupled twists. But in contrast to our former assumption, the orientation of the orientation plane \underline{l} is not fixed but adapted to the orientation of the shape tangents in the plane. An extension to lines in \mathbb{R}^3 and to its coupling to the twist model is work in progress.

5 Summary and Conclusions

We presented an operational or kinematic model of shape in \mathbb{R}^3 . This model is based on the Lie group $SE(3)$, embedded in the conformal geometric algebra $\mathbb{R}_{4,1}$ of the Euclidean space. While the modelling of shape in \mathbb{R}^3 caused by actions of $SE(3)$ is limited, a lot of advantages result from the chosen algebraic embedding in real applications. One of these is the possibility of conformal (and projective) shape models. We did not discuss any applications in detail. Instead, we refer the reader to the website <http://www.ks.informatik.uni-kiel.de> with respect to the problem of pose estimation. In that work we could show that the pose estimation

based on the presented shape model can cope with incomplete and noisy data. In addition to that robustness, pose estimation is numerically stable and fast.

Because the chosen twist model is equivalent to the Fourier representation (in some aspects it overcomes that), the proposed shape representation unifies geometry, kinematics, and signal theory. It can be expected that this will have a great impact on both theory and practice in computer vision, computer graphics and modelling of mechanisms.

References

1. K. Arbter. Affine-invariant fourier descriptors. In J.C. Simon, editor, *From Pixels to Features*, pages 153–164. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1989.
2. R.N. Bracewell. *The Fourier Transform and its Applications*. McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, 1984.
3. L. Dorst and D. Fontijne. An algebraic foundation for object-oriented euclidean geometry. In E.M.S. Hitzer and R. Nagaoka, editors, *Proc. Innovative Teaching in Mathematics with Geometric Algebra, Kyoto*, pages 138–153. Research Institute for Mathematics, Kyoto University, May, 2004.
4. R.T. Farouki. Curves from motion, motion from curves. In P.-J. Laurent, P. Sablonniere, and L.L. Schumaker, editors, *Curve and Surface Design*. Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville, TN, 2000.
5. O. Faugeras. Stratification of three-dimensional vision: projective, affine and metric representations. *Journ. of the Optical Soc. of America*, 12:465–484, 1995.
6. M. Felsberg and G. Sommer. The monogenic signal. *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, 49(12):3136–3144, 2001.
7. M. Felsberg and G. Sommer. The monogenic scale-space: A unified approach to phase-based image processing in scale-space. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 21:5–26, 2004.
8. G. Granlund and H. Knutsson. *Signal Processing for Computer Vision*. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
9. G.H. Granlund. Fourier processing for hand print character recognition. *IEEE Trans. Computers*, 21:195–201, 1972.
10. F.S. Grassia. Practical parameterization of rotations using the exponential map. *Journal of Graphics Tools*, 3(3):29–48, 1998.
11. R.V.L. Hartley. A more symmetrical fourier analysis applied to transmission problems. *Proc. IRE*, 30:144–150, 1942.
12. D. Hestenes. The design of linear algebra and geometry. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 23:65–93, 1991.
13. D. Hestenes and G. Sobczyk. *Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus*. D. Reidel Publ. Comp., Dordrecht, 1984.
14. F.P. Kuhl and C.R. Giardina. Elliptic fourier features of a closed contour. *Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, 18:236–258, 1982.
15. A. Lasenby and J. Lasenby. Surface evolution and representation using geometric algebra. In R. Cipolla and R. Martin, editors, *The Mathematics of Surfaces IX*, pages 144–168. Springer-Verlag, London, 2000.
16. H. Li, D. Hestenes, and A. Rockwood. Generalized homogeneous coordinates for computational geometry. In G. Sommer, editor, *Geometric Computing with Clifford Algebras*, pages 27–59. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2001.

17. J.M. McCarthy. *Introduction to Theoretical Kinematics*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1990.
18. R.M. Murray, Z. Li, and S.S. Sastry. *A Mathematical Introduction to Robotic Manipulation*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1994.
19. T. Needham. *Visual Complex Analysis*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.
20. A.V. Oppenheim and R.W. Schaffer. *Discrete-Time Signal Processing*. Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, 1989.
21. C. Perwass and D. Hildenbrand. Aspects of geometric algebra in euclidean, projective and conformal space. Technical Report 0310, Technical Report, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Institut für Informatik und Praktische Mathematik, 2003.
22. C. Perwass and G. Sommer. Numerical evaluation of versors with clifford algebra. In L. Dorst, C. Doran, and J. Lasenby, editors, *Applications of Geometric Algebra in Computer Science and Engineering*, pages 341–350. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
23. J. Rooney. A comparison of representations of general screw displacements. *Environment and Planning B*, 5:45–88, 1978.
24. B. Rosenhahn. Pose estimation revisited. Technical Report 0308, PhD thesis, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Institut für Informatik und Praktische Mathematik, 2003.
25. B. Rosenhahn, C. Perwass, and G. Sommer. Free-form pose estimation by using twist representations. *Algorithmica*, 38:91–113, 2004.
26. C.G. Small. *The Statistical Theory of Shape*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
27. G. Sommer. Algebraic aspects of designing behavior based systems. In G. Sommer and J.J. Koenderink, editors, *Algebraic Frames for the Perception-Action Cycle*, volume 1315 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 1–28. Proc. Int. Workshop AFPAC'97, Kiel, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1997.
28. G. Sommer, editor. *Geometric Computing with Clifford Algebras*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2001.
29. J. Turski. Projective fourier analysis for patterns. *Pattern Recognition*, 33:2033–2043, 2000.
30. J. Turski. Geometric fourier analysis of the conformal camera for active vision. *SIAM Review*, 46(2):230–255, 2004.
31. T.P. Wallace and O.R. Mitchell. Analysis of three-dimensional movements using fourier descriptors. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intell.*, 2(6):583–588, 1980.
32. M. Yaglom. *Felix Klein and Sophus Lie*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.
33. C.T. Zahn and R.Z. Roskies. Fourier descriptors for plane closed curves. *IEEE Trans. Computers*, 21:269–281, 1972.